



## PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Simon Peter KNIGHTLEY et al.

Group Art Unit: 1794

Application No.: 10/578,499

Examiner:

P. CHOI

Filed: October 2, 2006

Docket No.:

127954

For:

MAT FOR REDUCING THE DISTURBANCE OF PARTICULATE MATTER AND

LIQUIDS BY WIND

## RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT AND SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the August 6, 2008 PTO Communication (copy attached) alleging that Applicants' June 9, 2008 Amendment and Response to Restriction Requirement (hereinafter "June 9 Response") is non-compliant, Applicants herein submit a revised Amendment and Response to Restriction Requirement.

Applicants appreciate the courtesies shown to Applicants' representatives by Examiner Choi in the August 13, 2008 telephone interview. Applicants' separate record of the substance of the interview is incorporated into the following remarks.

The August 6 PTO Communication alleges that the June 9 Response was non-compliant because: (1) although the Restriction Requirement required an election between groups defined as Group I (claims 11-15), Group II (claims 16 and 17) and Group III (claims 18 and 19), Applicants elected Group II (claims 11-15, 16, 17 and 20-23); (2) the June 9 Response was not complete because it did not include cancellation or withdrawal of